CG week 2019 Multimedia Report

Committee:

- Aaron T. Becker
- Michael Biro
- Michael Hemmer
- Linda Kleist
- Wolfgang Mulzer
- Valentin Polishchuk
- Christiane Schmidt
- Adam Sheffer

Timeline

December 3rd: 1st Call for submissions January 18th: 2nd Call for submissions February, 22nd: Submissions due March, 10th: Notification April, 1st: Final abstracts due May, 10th: Revised/final versions due November, SC: Deadline is compatible with LIPICs schedule Proceedings chair: Actual deadline then was March 15/27 ⇒ Include Proceedings chair in discussion of deadlines!

Committee:

- Aaron T. Becker
- Michael Biro
- Michael Hemmer
- Linda Kleist
- Wolfgang Mulzer
- Valentin Polishchuk
- Christiane Schmidt
- Adam Sheffer

Timeline

December 3rd: 1st Call for submissions January 18th: 2nd Call for submissions February, 22nd: Submissions due March, 10th: Notification April, 1st: Final abstracts due May, 10th: Revised/final versions due

Rest like in previous years

4 submissions:

- 3 videos
- 1 video explaining an app

All PC members reviewed all submissions

4 submissions:

- 3 videos One we suggested to split into 2 videos
- 1 video explaining an app

All PC members reviewed all submissions

4 submissions:

• 3 videos • One we suggested to split into 2 videos

• 1 video explaining an app

All PC members reviewed all submissions

Submissions through easychair Skype meeting on March 5 for discussion and vote 2 accepts, 2 conditional accepts Short deadline extension for one group of authors ⇒ All final videos received on May 20

 \Rightarrow Discussion on how to possibly increase the number of submissions for future editions:

- \Rightarrow Discussion on how to possibly increase the number of submissions for future editions:
- Some of the submissions did not have a clear target audience (mixing parts for a lay audience and parts for experts)
- One submission originally produced for teaching purposes, adapted for MM

- \Rightarrow Discussion on how to possibly increase the number of submissions for future editions:
- Some of the submissions did not have a clear target audience (mixing parts for a lay audience and parts for experts)
- One submission originally produced for teaching purposes, adapted for MM
- ⇒ Our proposal (for discussion and/or future MM committees):
 - Two tracks:
 - (1) Educational
 - (2) Visualization for the community

- \Rightarrow Discussion on how to possibly increase the number of submissions for future editions:
- Some of the submissions did not have a clear target audience (mixing parts for a lay audience and parts for experts)
- One submission originally produced for teaching purposes, adapted for MM
- ⇒ Our proposal (for discussion and/or future MM committees):
 - Two tracks:
 - (1) Educational
 - (2) Visualization for the community
 - Track 2 possibly less interesting, because of technical possibilities for talks

- \Rightarrow Discussion on how to possibly increase the number of submissions for future editions:
- Some of the submissions did not have a clear target audience (mixing parts for a lay audience and parts for experts)
- One submission originally produced for teaching purposes, adapted for MM
- ⇒ Our proposal (for discussion and/or future MM committees):
 - Two tracks:
 - (1) Educational
 - (2) Visualization for the community
 - Track 2 possibly less interesting, because of technical possibilities for talks
 - Videos in track 1 could become part of a CG youtube channel (for K12 students, but also as course material)

- \Rightarrow Discussion on how to possibly increase the number of submissions for future editions:
- Some of the submissions did not have a clear target audience (mixing parts for a lay audience and parts for experts)
- One submission originally produced for teaching purposes, adapted for MM
- ⇒ Our proposal (for discussion and/or future MM committees):
 - Two tracks:
 - (1) Educational
 - (2) Visualization for the community
 - Track 2 possibly less interesting, because of technical possibilities for talks
 - Videos in track 1 could become part of a CG youtube channel (for K12 students, but also as course material)
 - ◆ Production time-intensive ⇒ encourage students to produce videos for track 2

- \Rightarrow Discussion on how to possibly increase the number of submissions for future editions:
- Some of the submissions did not have a clear target audience (mixing parts for a lay audience and parts for experts)
- One submission originally produced for teaching purposes, adapted for MM
- ⇒ Our proposal (for discussion and/or future MM committees):
 - Two tracks:
 - (1) Educational
 - (2) Visualization for the community
 - Track 2 possibly less interesting, because of technical possibilities for talks
 - Videos in track 1 could become part of a CG youtube channel (for K12 students, but also as course material)
 - ◆ Production time-intensive ⇒ encourage students to produce videos for track 2
 - Acception for track 2 quality stamp for video

- \Rightarrow Discussion on how to possibly increase the number of submissions for future editions:
- Some of the submissions did not have a clear target audience (mixing parts for a lay audience and parts for experts)
- One submission originally produced for teaching purposes, adapted for MM
- ⇒ Our proposal (for discussion and/or future MM committees):
 - Two tracks:
 - (1) Educational
 - (2) Visualization for the community
 - Track 2 possibly less interesting, because of technical possibilities for talks
 - Videos in track 1 could become part of a CG youtube channel (for K12 students, but also as course material)
 - ◆ Production time-intensive ⇒ encourage students to produce videos for track 2
 - Acception for track 2 quality stamp for video
 - ✦ If videos on certain topics are missing, specifically highlight in call

QUESTIONS?